

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges
90 Seventh Street, Suite 4-800
San Francisco, CA 94103

(415) 625-2200
(415) 625-2201 (FAX)



Issue Date: 23 October 2007

CASE NO.: 2006-LDA-00034

OWCP NO.: 02-138658

In the Matter of:

Z.S.,
 Claimant,

vs.

SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL CORP.,
 Employer,

and

INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF
PENNSYLVANIA/WORLDSOURCE,
 Carrier.

ORDER DENYING THIRD MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

On September 13, 2007, I denied a second motion for reconsideration filed by the Claimant in this case. The Claimant has now submitted another letter addressed to me which appears to be a third motion for reconsideration. Respondents have filed an opposition to this third request on a number of grounds.

This third motion for reconsideration is DENIED. OALJ procedures do not provide for filing multiple motions for reconsideration, and allowing motions for reconsideration of orders denying motions for reconsideration would prevent a case from ever being closed at the OALJ Administrative Law Judge level.

Respondents ask that I order the Claimant to stop filing motions for reconsideration and point out that the Claimant's filings have been tantamount to ex parte communications because the Claimant failed to provide the Respondents with copies of the exhibits she enclosed with the copy she filed with my office. While I could issue an order prohibiting the Claimant from filing any more motions for reconsideration, it would have little effect since I am not aware of any sanctions that could be imposed.

However, the Claimant is advised that I will not consider any more motions for reconsideration that are filed in this case. If additional motions are filed, Respondents are

advised that they need not respond because the motions will be denied without consideration upon receipt.

Additionally, the Claimant is advised that complete copies of any documents she submits to the OALJ must also be provided to Respondents and that failure to serve complete copies, including any exhibits or attachments, will result in the documents submitted to the OALJ being rejected.

A

JENNIFER GEE
Administrative Law Judge