

**U.S. Department of Labor**

Office of Administrative Law Judges  
800 K Street, NW, Suite 400-N  
Washington, DC 20001-8002

(202) 693-7300  
(202) 693-7365 (FAX)



**Issue Date: 15 January 2015**

**Case No: 2015-WIA-00001**

*In the Matter of:*

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

*Complainant*

v.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

*Respondent.*

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**

This matter arises under the Workforce Investment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2801 *et seq.* and the implementing regulations at 20 C.F.R. Parts 660 through 670 and was docketed in the Office of Administrative Law Judges (“Office”) on November 24, 2014. Thereafter, on December 23, 2014, the Grant Office of the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration (“Respondent”) sought to stay the deadline by which to submit the Administrative File. This Office granted the stay on December 30, 2014 because the Employment Development Department (“EDD”), State of California (“Complainant”) had recently submitted additional information to Respondent, which had the potential to obviate the need for a hearing.

On January 13, 2015, this Office received Respondent’s *Motion to Dismiss* on the grounds that the issues raised in Complainant’s appeal request are now moot. Complainant apparently submitted supplemental documentation to the Department to try and resolve the remaining \$225 disallowance in this case. After reviewing the documents, Respondent issued a Revised Final Determination on December 19, 2014, and reports that this “new determination allowing the disputed costs was then issued to the State of California.” Respondent now requests that this Office “dismiss this matter as moot.”

Complainant had originally requested a hearing under 29 C.F.R. § 96.63(b) to contest the remaining amount still subject to federal debt collection. As it now appears that EDD produced documentation to the satisfaction of the Department of Labor, the issues pending before this Office are now moot. Accordingly,

Based on the whole record, and for good cause shown, said motion is hereby GRANTED.  
This case is hereby DISMISSED.

SO ORDERED:

**STEPHEN R. HENLEY**  
Acting Chief Judge